



DuPage Water Commission

600 E. Butterfield Road, Elmhurst, IL 60126-4642
(630)834-0100 Fax: (630)834-0120

AGENDA

**ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 11, 2004
7:00 P.M.**

**600 EAST BUTTERFIELD ROAD
ELMHURST, IL 60126**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

L. Hartwig - Chair
D. Zeilenga
E. Chaplin
W. Murphy

- I. Roll Call
- II. Approval of Minutes of October 14, 2004
- III. Fostering Customer Cooperation/Collaboration in Well Maintenance
- IV. Resolution No. R-69-04: A Resolution Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Agreement with the County of DuPage for the Loan of an EMnet Computer Workstation
- V. Other

Board/Agendas/Administration/ADM0411.DOC

All visitors must present a valid drivers license or other government-issued photo identification, sign in at the reception area and wear a visitor badge while at the DuPage Pumping Station.

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
OF THE DuPAGE WATER COMMISSION
HELD ON OCTOBER 14, 2004**

The meeting was called to order at 9:30 A.M. at the Commission's office located at 600 East Butterfield Road, Elmhurst, Illinois.

Committee members in attendance: E. Chaplin, W. Murphy, D. Zeilenga, and L. Hartwig

Committee members absent: None

Also in attendance: M. Crowley

Commissioner Chaplin moved to approve the Minutes of the September 9, 2004 Administration Committee meeting. Seconded by Commissioner Murphy and unanimously approved by a Voice Vote.

All voted aye. Motion carried.

The Committee discussed Ordinance No. O-18-04, codifying the Committee's previous recommendation that electronic participation in Commission meetings be allowed without restriction except for a requirement that a quorum be physically present at regular meetings. Commissioner Zeilenga indicated he had reconsidered the matter and was probably opposed to the ordinance. Commissioner Chaplin expressed her preference that electronic participation be allowed only in cases of special meetings or for reasons of illness. Commissioner Chaplin noted alternatively that she could support an ordinance allowing such participation if it extended to the public the same right of electronic participation in Commission meetings as was extended to Commissioners, at which point the Staff Attorney left the meeting at the request of Chairman Vondra.

Noting the differences of opinion that currently existed among the Committee members and the fact that the ordinance was already included on the Board's agenda, the Committee decided the ordinance should proceed without a recommendation from the Committee. The Committee then reviewed the resolution supporting the existing withdrawal language in the proposed Annex 2001 regulations and recommended approval of Resolution No. R-59-04 to the full Board. The Committee concluded its meeting with a discussion of the authority of the Commission to unilaterally impose maintenance standards upon Commission customers.

Commissioner Murphy moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:00 A.M. Seconded by Commissioner Zeilenga and unanimously approved by a Voice Vote.

All voted aye. Motion carried.




DuPage Water Commission

MEMORANDUM

TO: Commissioner Chaplin

COPIES: Chairman Vondra and Commissioners

FROM: Robert L. Martin, P.E.
General Manager 

DATE: November 4, 2004

SUBJECT: E-mail of November 4, 2004, Regarding Fostering Customer Cooperation/Collaboration in Well Maintenance

In response to your e-mail (attached), the Commission has not requested any documentation regarding maintenance of wells by the Village of Downers Grove or by any of the other customer utilities. As I have stated in the past, the Commission has no authority to unilaterally establish a level of maintenance that each customer utility must adhere to in maintaining their wells. We have, however, in meetings with the Village/City Managers/Administrators and the Water Superintendents, impressed upon them the importance of such maintenance.

The minimum required level of maintenance and the quality of water is a matter between the customer utility and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency is presently developing regulations regarding water quality requirements for back up wells but, to date, no such regulations have been implemented. We will, all the same, maintain a dialogue with our customer utilities on this important issue.

You also asked if the Commission has complied with Section C. Facilities, Equipment and Operation numbers 9 and 10 of the Chicago Water Supply Contract. Attached is a copy of page 6 from the Commission's 2003/04 Annual Report showing Commission and customer utility storage. As you can see on the bottom right, the collective storage capacity of the Commission and the customer utilities is 55.08 million gallons over the two times average day storage required under the Chicago Contract. Even if the 10% shallow well credit was removed from the equation, the collective storage capacity of the Commission and the customer utilities would still exceed the two times average day requirement by 36.67 million gallons. For your future reference, this storage report appears in every Annual Report.

Robert Martin

From: bchaplin03@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2004 9:36 AM
To: Robert Martin
Cc: Maureen Crowley
Subject: Municipal Wells Novembers meeting

Dear Bob,

Although this subject will hopefully be on the Administration Committees Agenda, I feel I need to restate some of my concerns and questions. First and foremost, the survey is lacking any information about water quality from these wells and secondly, the inconsistency. The variance in this report makes it just about impossible to determine that all wells are properly maintained. Self policing and undocumented surveys are not acceptable. For example, what documentation has

Downers Grove provided to the commission that it is now maintaining its wells and well houses? Also, Downers Grove indicates the well at Maple and Belmont is active and using it for storage. That well is in the center of a major contamination plume.

Is contaminated water an acceptable source of water?

Therefore, I feel it is necessary to set definitive guidelines so that every municipality's data can be compared equally.

It has been stated that the commission has "no authority" in this matter. It is our responsibility as a party of a contract with the City of Chicago to uphold that contract and not to jeopardize the service the City of Chicago provides the DuPage Water Commission. It was stated at the October meeting that "we have always been in compliance with our contract" regarding Section C. Facilities, Equipment and Operation numbers 9 and 10. Please provide supporting documentation.

I look forward to working with staff and the Administration Committee on this important issue.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Liz Chaplin

CUSTOMER WATER STORAGE

CUSTOMER	EXISTING STORAGE (MG)	2004 ALLOCATION (IN MGD)	% OF SYSTEM	REQUIRED STORAGE	SHALLOW WELL ALLOWANCE	% OF DWC STORAGE	STORAGE ABOVE OR (BELOW) REQ
ADDISON	6.75	4.494	4.74%	8.99	0.90	2.96	1.63
ARGONNE NAT'L LAB	1.02	0.758	0.80%	1.52	0.15	0.50	0.15
BENSENVILLE	3.55	2.694	2.84%	5.39	0.00	1.78	(0.06)
BLOOMINGDALE	4.80	2.759	2.91%	5.52	0.55	1.82	1.65
CAROL STREAM	6.50	4.463	4.71%	8.93	0.89	2.94	1.41
CLARENDON HILLS	1.25	0.711	0.75%	1.42	0.14	0.47	0.44
DARIEN	2.75	2.750	2.90%	5.50	0.55	1.81	(0.39)
DOWNERS GROVE	8.00	6.762	7.14%	13.52	1.35	4.46	0.29
ELMHURST	15.00	4.669	4.93%	9.34	0.93	3.08	9.68
GLEN ELLYN (4)	3.17	2.930	3.09%	5.86	0.59	1.93	(0.17)
GLENDALE HEIGHTS	4.20	3.016	3.18%	6.03	0.60	1.99	0.76
HINSDALE	4.50	2.649	2.80%	5.30	0.53	1.75	1.48
IAWC-ARROWHEAD	0.40	0.196	0.21%	0.39	0.04	0.13	0.18
IAWC-COUNTRY CLUB	0.20	0.117	0.12%	0.23	0.02	0.08	0.07
IAWC-DUPAGE/LISLE (1)	0.91	0.598	0.63%	1.20	0.12	0.39	0.23
IAWC-LOMBARD HEIGHTS (2)	0.08	0.072	0.08%	0.14	0.01	0.05	0.00
IAWC-LIBERTY RIDGE EAST (4)	0.07	0.050	0.05%	0.10	0.01	0.03	0.01
IAWC-LIBERTY RIDGE WEST (3)	0.40	0.344	0.36%	0.69	0.07	0.23	0.01
IAWC-VALLEY VIEW	0.88	0.700	0.74%	1.40	0.14	0.46	0.08
ITASCA	3.50	1.742	1.84%	3.48	0.35	1.15	1.51
LISLE (1)	4.79	3.185	3.36%	6.37	0.64	2.10	1.16
LOMBARD (2)	6.14	4.875	5.15%	9.75	0.98	3.22	0.58
NAPERVILLE	43.90	19.674	20.76%	39.35	3.93	12.98	21.46
OAK BROOK	8.00	4.104	4.33%	8.21	0.82	2.71	3.32
OAKBROOK TERRACE	0.50	0.217	0.23%	0.43	0.04	0.14	0.25
ROSELLE	1.75	2.204	2.33%	4.41	0.44	1.45	(0.76)
VILLA PARK	3.80	2.109	2.23%	4.22	0.42	1.39	1.39
WESTMONT	4.50	2.872	3.03%	5.74	0.57	1.89	1.22
WHEATON	7.26	5.830	6.15%	11.66	1.17	3.85	0.61
WILLOWBROOK	4.00	1.321	1.39%	2.64	0.26	0.87	2.49
WINFIELD (3)	1.60	1.113	1.17%	2.23	0.22	0.73	0.33
WOOD DALE	3.35	1.639	1.73%	3.28	0.33	1.08	1.48
WOODRIDGE	6.15	3.134	3.31%	6.27	0.63	2.07	2.58
CUSTOMER TOTAL	163.67	94.751	100.00%	189.50	18.41	62.50	55.08
COMMISSION TOTAL	62.50						
TOTAL	226.17						

(1) LISLE CONTRACTED STORAGE TO IAWC DUPAGE/LISLE
(2) LOMBARD CONTRACTED STORAGE TO IAWC LOMBARD
(3) WINFIELD CONTRACTED STORAGE TO IAWC LIBERTY RIDGE WEST
(4) GLEN ELLYN CONTRACTED STORAGE TO IAWC LIBERTY RIDGE EAST